

PROTOCOL

Interrogation of the Victim

G. Novocherkassk

10 July 2008

Rostov Region

The interrogation started at 17h40min

The interrogation ended at 18h20min

The Deputy Chief of department of SCH GSU at Ministry of internal Affairs Lieutenant Colonel of Justice according to article 189 and 190 (191) of the code was questioned in a criminal case.

1.Surname, first name, patronymic	Starova, Rimma Mikhailovna
2.Date of Birth	10 April 1938
3.Place of Birth	Station Hada-Bulan Borzinsky District Chita Region
4.Place of residence and registration, telephone	Rostov Oblast, Novocherkassk
5.Citizenship	Russia
6.Education	High
7.Marital status, family composition	Not Married
8.Place of work, Telephone	General Director of 000{Makhaon}, 000 {Parfeneon}, 000 {Ryland}
9.Military Status	No Military
10.Criminal Record	No Criminal Record

The Victim

(Signed)

11.A passport or other document proving the identity of the victim **Passport 6002848273, Issued 14.06.2002 Novocherkassk, Rostov Oblast, K.P. 612009**

12. Other data on the identity of the victim

Technical means in investigative actions is not applied.

Before the beginning of the interrogation the victim's rights and duties were explained to me, provided for in part two of article 42 of the TOS OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION. Under article 18 criminal procedure code of the Russian Federation had explained to me the right to testify in their native language or in my native language and require the use of an interpreter for free. It was also explained to me that according to Art. 51 of the Constitution of the Russia Federation I am not obliged to testify against myself, my spouse or other close relatives which circle is defined in clause 4 of article 5 UPK of the Russian Federation. When agreeing to give evidence warned that my testimony can be used as evidence in a criminal case, including the case of my subsequent refusal of these testimonies.

I have been warned about criminal liability for refusing to testify under article 308 of the criminal code for knowingly giving false testimony under article 307 of the criminal code of the Russian Federation

Victim _____

On the merits of the criminal case can show the following

As the General Director of 000 {Parfeneon}, 000 {Makhaon}, 000 {Ryland} working from February 28, 2008. General director of these companies was appointed on the basis of decisions of the sole participant dated February 28, 2008. The main activities of the organizations headed by me is to work with securities, including the purchase and sale of securities on their behalf. My duties include managing the activities of these companies, document management, compliance with the requirement of the law. The participant of all three companies is a foreign company Boily Systems LTD. Located at the address: British Virgin Islands, Tortola Island, Road Town, Drake chambers 3321, operating in the Russian Federation through the authorized representative of Smetanin Alexander Yurievich, whom I personally know. In February 2008 the company signed a contract in Kazan for the purchase of 000 {Pluton} (Kazan, St. Dementieva, d.22) share in the authorized capital 000 {Parfeneon}, 000{Makhaon}, 000 {Ryland} in the amount of 100% of the authorized capital of each company. The contract on purchase of the above shares was Smetanin A. Y. was made personally, without my participation, I do not know anything about this deal, it was before my appointment as general director.

After my appointment, the Documents of the society (folders of company) were given to me by Smetanin A.Y., which in turn got them from Markelov, Victor Alexandrovich, 000{Pluton}. Around the beginning of March 2008, having begun to understand the documents I learned that unidentified persons who did not have the appropriate authority to do so, appealed to the arbitration courts first of the city of St. Petersburg and Leningrad region then the republic of Tatarstan, presenting a set of documents (Contracts of purchase and sale of shares OAO {Gazprom}, correspondence, agreement on the termination of the contract of purchase and sale of securities), on the basis of which 000 {Parfeneon}, 000 {Makhaon}, 000 {Ryland} had to pay considerable sums to various legal entities unknown to me with whom the companies headed by me had no relationship.

In particular, on behalf of the company ZAO {Logos Plus}, 000 {Instar}, 000 {Grand-Active} in arbitration courts of first Saint Petersburg and Leningrad region. (Cases No. A56-22481/2007, A56-22484/2007, A56-22470/2007, A56-22474-/2007, A56-22459/2007), Moscow, and then the Republic of Tatarstan (Case No. A65-26689/2007) were charged with numerous statements of claim about collecting from 000 {Parfeneon}, 000 {Makhaon}, 000 {Ryland} losses by a considerable amount – several million rubles.

However, I have data that the submitted statements of claim are based on false documents on allegedly held sale of shares of OAO {Gazprom}, submitted to the court, because of the availability in my possession of the documents of the company contracts with ZAO {Logos Plus}, 000 {Grand Active} not confirmed.

So, from the documentation of companies transferred to me, copies of these contracts will be absent, contracts are not reflecting accounting registers. No information about the issuance of a power of attorney in the name of M.V. Kozlov in the company does not have, M.V. Kozlov 000 {Makhaon}, 000 {Parfeneon}, 000 {Ryland} not available and never were. The circumstances of the manufacture of these alleged contracts indicate that these contracts were made solely to appeal to the arbitration courts, in fact, did not conclude and make signs of falsification.

From the Explanations of the director of 000 {Pluton} Markelov Viktor Alexandrovich, who was directly involved in the consideration of the above arbitration cases, it follows that his friends, who are representatives of form members of 000 {Parfeneon}, 000 {Makhaon}, 000 {Ryland} – Foreign companies Kone Holdings Limited and Glendora Holdings Limited, asked him for compensation 000 {Pluton} and find new General Directors of the companies 000 {Parfeneon}, 000 {Makhaon}, 000 {Ryland}. Then they made a set of the documents including contracts and correspondence according to which the debt of open company was created 000 {Parfeneon}, 000 {Makhaon}, 000 {Ryland} before several legal entities (ZAO {Logos Plus}, 000 {Grand-Active}). In order to give legal force made documents, an unidentified person asked Markelov V.A, not knowledgeable about their criminal intent, to arrange for the obtaining of decisions of the arbitration court confirming the debt of 000 {Makhaon}, 000 {Parfeneon}, 000 {Ryland}. At the request of these persons, Markelov V.A. organized the appeal of ZAO {Logos Plus} The arbitration court of Moscow and the arbitration court of the republic of Tatarstan. In this case, original claims to 000 {Parfeneon}, 000 {Makhaon}, 000 {Ryland} addressed ZAO {Logos Plus} in St. Petersburg, then 000 {Instar} in Moscow and only then 000 {Grand-Active} in Kazan. He, V.A Markelov the documents are not produced, their value is not understood, so was not aware of what is involved in causing damage to property.

Currently I have no certificate of incorporation for companies ZAO {Logos Plus}, 000 {Grand-Active}, 000 {Instar} since their receipt is difficult, as these organizations are registered in different regions of Russia: ZAO {Logos Plus} in St. Petersburg, 000 {Instar} in Moscow, 000 {Grand-Active} in the Rostov region. I have given instructions to the company's lawyer to request in the shortest possible time the relevant tax authorities extracts from the register on the data of the organization, which I immediately upon receipt will submit to the investigation. Prior to obtaining official data from the property for the same reasons, I cannot report data on manager of ZAO {Logos Plus}, 000 {Instar}, 000 {Grand Active}. Against members ZAO {Logos Plus} Strazhev Alexander Valeryevich, acting on the basis of a power of attorney issued by the director of ZAO {Logos Plus} Osman Adel Ali 25 April 2005, I can explain that according to the attorney Strazhev Alexander V. it acts on the basis of the passport 78 04

368347 issued 20.04.2005 5-M HAMOUD of Rybinsk and Rybinsk district of the Yaroslavl region. However, his place of residence is unknown. Any data, M.V. Kozlova A.N. Sheshenya, G.N. Plaksina I do not have, but the data of A.N. Sheshenya G.N. Plaksina, will be installed after receipt of extracts from the register because the contracts they are specified as leaders of the companies 000 {Parfeneon}, 000 {Makhaon}, 000 {Ryland} is not available. Details of his passport data, place of residence, full name and patronymic is also not available. I guess this man never worked for 000 {Parfeneon}, 000 {Makhaon}, 000 {Ryland} and did not have the authority to conclude any contracts.

In relation to these Pavlov A.A., Turukhina A.S., Yakovlev K.A., Shulgina E.N., Maiorova Y.M., Maltseva E.A. and E.M. Khayretdinov can explain that these persons were lawyers, representing in arbitration courts, 000 {Makhaon}, 000 {Parfeneon}, 000 {Ryland}, 000 {Instar}, 000 {Grand-Active}, ZAO {Logos Plus}.

In respect of Oktaya Gasanov Gasanovich, I want to clarify that I am not familiar with this, the data is not available.

With Regard to the data on cash flows reported that provide this information, I am currently not able to. As in connection with the re-registration of 000 {Parfeneon}, 000 {Makhaon}, 000 {Ryland} in Moscow oblast, legal affairs headed by EFNS No 13 in Moscow region, in connection which I have no opportunity to obtain the certificate of incorporation, which in the original is necessary for the identity of a bank card ant providing to the banks where open account of 000 {Parfeneon}, 000 {Makhaon}, 000 {Ryland} for the same reasons, I am unable to provide information on the movement of securities on deposit accountants opened with OAO {GazpromBank}.

In fact, damage to societies {Parfeneon}, {Makhaon}, {Ryland}, it is caused by the fact of appearance at societies of large creditors which requirements are based on false documents but have to be executed by societies. The extent of the damage is currently being clarified. As far as I know, a representative of Boily Systems LTD> Smetanin A.Y. signed a contract with Moscow lawyer Nikolayev Y.N. which is currently engaged in including the determination of the amount of damage. After the report on his part, I provide this information to the investigation

Translated By Jareth Copus

WorldPravda

